

## Could PCR Testing be the Real Cause of Lockdown Hell?

Think about this for a moment; We have a disease going around that carries a 99.75% **Survival Rate**, so why all the fucking panic?


Could it be because the PCR test is bullshit?

Even Kary Mullis the inventor of the test, who invented the PCR method in 1985 and was awarded the Nobel Prize in Chemistry in 1993, has publicly stated; ***“Quantitative PCR is an Oxymoron”*** while speaking within the context of testing viral load (the amount of virus present) in people with HIV.

Let me just explain that, because I was gobsmacked when I took a deep dive into this subject.

The above statement shows; **he never intended the test to be used for the purpose of either detecting an illness or discovering if a person is contagious**, because to do that you’d need a test that tested the viral load (the actual amount, or quantity present), and PCR ***doesn’t do that***.

PCR is a **Qualitative, not Quantitative** test, it simply cannot determine whether the individual tested is infectious.



In layman’s terms, think of it like this: We’ve invented a test that’s so sensitive it can detect perhaps one drop of cyanide in an Olympic size swimming pool that holds 2.5 million litres of water.

Bravo, but because it can't tell exactly how much cyanide is there, but only that it is there, it doesn't tell you if the person swimming in the pool is in any immediate danger, nor if he'll be a danger to anyone else when he gets out!

Now, in the above example, for cyanide, think genetic material, and for water think of the human body and its 40 trillion cells.

But we have been, and still are, judging the importance of this virus (and the bogus pandemic its causing), based on PCR testing. And the result?

Massive numbers of reported 'Confirmed Cases' to further frighten and panic a largely uneducated population, who truly believe these numbers of positive PCR tests reported in the news, are significant somehow.

These scaremongering numbers should be kept secret, because the only information we ever need to know is how many people are sick enough to require hospitalization, and how many died 'Actually of Covid', and not from a stroke or whatever whilst carrying the virus, or 'Acquiring' Covid in hospital, which is just more fraudulent and useless information.

Finally, what percentage of your population does that boil down to, and now you can properly assess the risk, which for me at least, is practically non-existent.

Millions of people are being tested and found to have these minute genetic traces of Covid 19, whilst still being totally asymptomatic.

They neither have symptoms, nor are they contagious!

How come?

Because you or I may have unknowingly had Covid 19 six months ago, and dealt with it via our adaptive immune systems.

We all (that's just about every living person in a populated city), have experience of the common cold-causing coronavirus family, so its old news for all but those with the most tragically compromised immune systems.

That's something the BBC and other bullshit media outlets didn't bother to tell you I'm guessing.

Today however, we take an inappropriate PCR test that only detects if the viral RNA (genetic) fragments are there (and why wouldn't they be, we've had the virus remember), but, SHOCKER, the PCR test is **interpreted** as us having the disease right now, **today**, so we go down as yet another bullshit statistic of a; '**Confirmed Coronavirus Case**' needing quarantine.

I know full well what 'False Positive' means, as I'm sure we all do. So before some educated idiot corrects me for incorrectly using the term in a moment, I'm suggesting that we should first agree that the context is what matters here.

If the test is inappropriate for the public to use **to decide on their level of risk and fearfulness**, and that's exactly how the media are abusing these test results, then my feeling is that those perfectly healthy people who are simply found to have genetic fragments of Covid yet are subsequently being 'Perceived' or 'Portrayed' as counting towards raising the risk and social fear factor, are False Positives!

The Solution?

If we stop taking the tests, or stop the media from reporting them and just allow scientists to use the data that matters, then the 'False Positives' (as I'm calling them) will fall to almost nothing, or around 0.25 to 0.50 of a percent of confirmed cases, which, surprise surprise, will exactly match the death rate from the very same disease.

Why?

Because now we'll only be broadcasting results from testing dead people, or those already in hospital suffering with, or on their way to dying from Coronavirus, which will be somewhere between 1 in 200 to 1 in 400 people suffering with the flu.

Thus, even to the most retarded of government officials, lockdowns will seem nothing more than an unjust, unnecessary, and cruel economic joke, as they evidently did to the Swedish health officials.

Those above numbers may or may not match the worldwide flu death rate per year anyhow, only no one ever makes such a song and dance about it as they are right now.

Nor do they ever tell us what exact strain of flu virus those 700,000 people die of each year!

Why?

Because no one gives a fuck to test them all, that's why, it's just flu after all, and the people at the sharp end of flu are always vulnerable, weak, and often elderly people, so a good percentage of them die, **Every Year**, that's just how it is and always has been, period.

By the way, when first investigating this drama back in January last year, the very first thing I wanted to know was what the figures are for seasonal flu anyhow, but

my research clearly showed that there's a huge amount of guesswork involved in arriving at that 700,000 figure, since where is all the test data telling us what strain of influenza all these people historically die of?

It's non-existent!

So for all we know that 700,000 could be a million, or way, way more.

But the further I dug into how these clever number crunchers work their fictional magic, I ended up thinking what's the fucking point? How can you compare a current anything, to historical guesswork?

Especially when the 'current' events numbers are also all over the place *'like a mad woman's shit'* with different countries and even states within countries and towns within those, having different reporting criteria.

Meanwhile, the nutter running North Korea reckons there's not been a single case of Coronavirus in his fairy tale land, not one!

But you know what? Sometimes, when you miss a target, it doesn't matter if you missed it by an inch or a mile, does it.

And when I think back to my old Nan or Aunty Maude passing away, who gave any mind as to what was actually on the death certificate anyhow?

Providing there was no foul play, did any of us care if our loved ones passed away by a stroke or a heart attack, or that either of those causes may have been made worse, or brought about faster, by a strain of flu they may have had at the time?

No, of course not, but if we're being 'Herded' by corrupt politicians and bent medical experts into the greatest 'Preventable' economic disaster in living memory, as well as the greatest time of mass public control and thought policing, a time when 'Freedom of Speech' is now just a joke, then best we have a whipping boy ready to take the fall.

I.e. Covid-19

The spike of Covid deaths seen in March/April, I discovered, was because the flu season deaths in 2019 and early 2020 were well below average, leaving more vulnerable, elderly, and otherwise sick people alive than normal, thus ready to fall ill in March and April.

Does that make sense?

These vulnerable people with multiple co-morbidities were going to die soon anyhow, of something, but they all got an extra 6 or 7 months of life before their

time was indeed finally up, but die they still did, from flu they were destined to die from anyhow, only of this particular and nasty variant (Covid 19).

And why did this March/April totally common event flag up as something special, an anomaly, or a so-called ‘Spike’?

Simply because the world’s media were focussed on the subject, that’s the only reason why!

If I asked you, or any media scaremonger from the press or BBC; *when were the spikes in flu deaths in 2015 or 2018?* then no one would have a clue, would they?

Finally, and perhaps most importantly, no one ever had the idea of throwing vast amounts of cash at corrupt American hospitals for treating patients presenting with a strain of flu, but without medical insurance, and thus, you could now get run over by a truck in the U.S, and upon arrival at the hospital declare you have no medical insurance (normally resulting in them showing you the door), but, after simply taking a PCR test with a positive result, you now get full medical treatment with all the bells and whistles.

You still die though, *with* Coronavirus, but not of it, and you go down on the official death rate for Covid 19. Oh, and of course, the hospital administration gets well paid anyhow, plus 20% Covid bonus on top. Gravy!

That’s never happened before, ever, so if the numbers say more people are dying of this disease than the bog standard seasonal flu, then perhaps, along with the past incompetent, underreporting, sheer guesswork of mortality figures, this explains it somewhat.

Plus, Belgium, for instance, attributes deaths to Covid-19 if the disease is a suspected cause, even if no test has been carried out, and their not alone.

Calculating the truth of the final death toll for this fiasco will be a nightmare I could write a book on.

You’d have to first discount all the biases, cases of fraud, and medical mistakes, perform half a million autopsies, and then minus all the Covid caused suicides, and deaths caused by people delaying hospitalization for serious complaints, for fear of ‘Hospital Acquired Infection’.

In fact, Scientific American reported on just one study in the *Journal of the American College of Cardiology* which found that **nine major hospitals** saw a **38%** drop in emergency visits for a particular kind of heart attack, just in March!

*“That suggests that people really are delaying or avoiding medical care, which could mean that some of them die of preventable causes”*

So let's drop it there, and why not just keep things simple?

For the past 6 months I've been making a point of asking all the people I meet that know lots of people (bank managers, restaurant owners and managers of vast numbers of hotel staff etc), ***'How many people do you personally know who've died of Coronavirus'***

Just this week I asked the owner of my favourite garden restaurant the very same question.

***"Two"***, she replied.

I was frankly shocked, since the usual answer is 'none', but with a few claiming to know people who've dealt with, or are currently dealing with the problem.

The first fatality I was told was the lady's grandmother (who's age, based on her granddaughter being around 50, must have been in excess of 80, but that's beside the point, as you'll soon discover).

***"And she died of Coronavirus"?*** I asked, and she answered;

***"Well she was in hospital with a perforated bowel, but they said she had it, yes"***

After an uncomfortable pause where I'm thinking; 'for fuck's sake!', the lady saved me with; ***"so I suppose it didn't really kill her, did it?"***

***"What about the other person who died"?*** I asked.

***"Oh she was in hospital with terminal cancer"***

(Seriously, I couldn't make this up, could I!)

After another uncomfortable silence.....

Now, you get the picture I'm sure, and the UK's Office for National Statistics counts all deaths as Covid-19 where Covid-19 was mentioned on the death certificate, regardless of whether they were tested or if it was merely a suspected case of Covid-19.

I can also pretty much guarantee what those death certificates would say if those two ladies had died in the U.S, and especially if neither lady had health insurance.

Please remember, the US Congress passed an act pledging \$100 billion in direct aid to hospitals treating Covid-19 patients who have no medical insurance, plus they also stated they'll even pay a further 20% extra in Medicare reimbursements to hospitals dealing with Covid patients who do have medical cover.

So as to whether we'll ever know how bad this Covid situation really was is highly unlikely, though I'm happy to agree it's likely to have been a vicious strain of flu we won't forget in a hurry. So I'll leave that hot potato right there.

And that's also all I have to say on PCR testing.

In a nutshell, it's inappropriate, and has been used, mainly by the media, to scare the living shit out of millions of vulnerable, confused people.

The next time you hear some twat on the TV or radio telling you how many people were tested today, and then how many were 'positive', the only thing you need to be remotely interested in is; ***'how many of those positives were in such a shit state, or soon might be, that they need hospitalisation'***

As always, give us a comment if you enjoyed this info, and if you did, please don't forget to help us continue bringing you enlightening and thought provoking articles, by clicking our donation button below.

Every little helps, especially in these troubled times. So thanks in advance for your generosity.

[Paypal.me/BluntCoach](https://www.paypal.me/BluntCoach)

Andy and Steven Dunne AKA The Blunt Coaches